

Planning Team Report

Rezoning of 99 New Line Road, Cherrybrook from zone R2 - Low Density Residential to RE2 - Private Recreation.				
Proposal Title :	Rezoning of 99 New Line Road, Cherrybrook from zone R2 - Low Density Residential to RE2 - Private Recreation.			
Proposal Summary :	Proposal to amend Hornsby LEP 2013 to rezone land at 99 New line Road, Cherrybrook from R2 - Low Density Residential to RE2 - Private Recreation, to permit use of the site as car parking for the nearby West Pennant Hills Sports Club.			
PP Number :	PP_2014_HORNS_001_00	Dop File No :	14/01095	
Proposal Details				
•				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Jan-2014	LGA covered :	Hornsby	
		RPA :	The Council of the Shire of Horn	
Region :	Sydney Region West			
State Electorate :	CASTLE HILL	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 99	New Line Road			
Suburb : Ct	herrybrook City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2126	
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 2 DP 612896			
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Shane Nugent			
Contact Number :	0298601173			
Contact Email :	shane.nugent@planning.nsw.go	shane.nugent@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :	Karen Harragon			
Contact Number :	0298476945			
Contact Email :	kharragon@hornsby.nsw.gov.au	u		
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Terry Doran			
Contact Number :	0298601149			
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.a	au		
Land Release Dat	ta			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

E2 - Private Recreati	ion.		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The objective of the proposed rezoning is to enable the use of the site as a car park to serve the nearby West Pennant Hills Sports Club. The site is owned by the Club. Total site area is 1680 square metres.		
	Land adjoining the site to the nor	th is zoned RE2 Private Rec	creation in Hornsby LEP 2013.
dequacy Assessmer	nt		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ol	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The intended outcome of the p be used in conjunction with th Line Road.	proposal is to provide an ad le existing West Pennant Hil	ditional new car parking area to Is Sports Club at 103-109 New
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	site RE2 Private Recreation. A	mendments are also propos	y LEP 2013 to zone the subject sed to the Height of Building and ent controls from the subject site.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

2.1 Environment Protection Zones2.3 Heritage Conservation3.1 Residential Zones3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

RE2 - Private Recreatio		
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required	? Yes
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) (Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have th	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	Zones. However the residential develous requirement of the requirement	posal states that it is consistent with S. 117 direction 3.1 Residential the Department is of the view that, as it proposes to rezone land where pment is currently permitted, it may not be consistent with the e direction to "not contain provisions which will reduce the ential density of land."
		rea of the site and the nature of the proposal, it is considered that any If minor significance.
Have inconsistencies wit	h items a), b) and d) be	ing adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :		
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	s	
Comment :		proposed changes to LEP maps are included in Part 2 of the planning posed amended map sheets are also included with the planning
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultat	tion been proposed? Y	es
Comment :		for a period of twenty-eight days is proposed. A consultation strategy pendix E of the planning proposal.
Additional Director G	eneral's requireme	ents
Are there any additional I	Director General's requ	irements? No
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of t	he proposal	
Does the proposal meet t	he adequacy criteria?	Yes
If No, comment :		
Proposal Assessment		
Principal LEP:		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Hornsby LEP 2013 is	a Standard Instrument LEP. It commenced in October 2013.

Assessment Criteria	1		
Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal to rezone parking to serve the nearby W registered clubs are permitted therefore it is not possible to a the registered club use.	est Pennant Hills Sports Club in the current R2 Low Densit	. Neither car parks nor y Residential zone, and
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is not inconsiste Government's Draft Metropolit		framework set out in the
	The proposal states that addit which is used as a community with the plan's objectives to "o and recreational opportunities open space."	and recreation resource for t create socially inclusive place	he local area. This is consistent is that promote social, cultural
	The proposed zoning is consis which is zoned RE2 Private Re		
Environmental social economic impacts :	The site has not been identifie populations or ecological com		its or threatened species,
	The planning proposal identifies that the area has a history of stormwater inundation. The planning proposal states that the applicant will be required to provide additional information to demonstrate an appropriate stormwater solution before the rezoning of the land is finalised.		
	There may also be local traffic issues, which can be addressed through consultation with Roads and Maritime Services and at the development application stage.		
	The proposal may have positiv patrons parking on adjoining s		y reducing the number of Club
Assessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Trade and Investment NSW - C Transport for NSW - Roads an Transgrid		Racing, NSW

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Yes

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents		
Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Hornsby_cover_letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Hornsby_planning_proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF GATEWAY DETERMINATION As the matter is a 'spot' rezoning consistent with the zoning of adjoining land, it is of minor significance. It is recommended that the Gateway Determination function be delegated to the Director, Metropolitan Delivery (Parramatta).
	DELEGATION OF PLAN-MAKING FUNCTION
	Hornsby Shire Council has requested delegation of the plan-making function to Council. As the matter is of minor significance, it is recommended that the plan-making function be delegated to Council.
	RECOMMENDATION AND GATEWAY CONDITIONS
	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS The proposal may not be consistent with Section 117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones. However given the small size of the site and the constraints on the site, any inconsistency is of minor significance. The approval of the delegate of the Director General is therefore required and is recommended.
	Further, it is recommended that the proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Consultation with the following public authorities: - Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services - Trade and Investment NSW - Office of Liquor, Gaming and Racing, NSW - Transgrid.
	2. Community consultation for a period of 28 days.

Rezoning of 99 New Line Road,	Cherrybrook from zone R2 - Low Density Residential to
RE2 - Private Recreation.	

	3. The timeframe for completing the local environmental plan is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is to rezone a small parcel of land, owned by West Pennant Hills Sports Club, from R2 Low Density Residential to RE2 Private Recreation, to allow use as a car park to serve the Club. The proposed zoning is consistent with the zoning of adjoining land. While there may be minor impacts on traffic and stormwater, this can be resolved at development application stage.		
Signature:	Ala		
Printed Name:	<u> DORAN</u> Date: <u>141/14</u>		